

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,136	09/13/2000	John Griebat	1708	7699
75	90 04/27/2004		EXAM	INER
Quaker Oats Co.			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
555 W. Monroe St. Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/23/200	4

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A and the Africa No.	A U- a-4/-)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/661,136	GRIEBAT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	T. 1111 NO DATE (41:	Mark Rosenbaum	3725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ma	arch 2004.				
•		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 5,7,10-12 and 14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,7,10-12 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the dependent drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/661,136

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/5/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The omission of a step/element i.e. pre-breaking, does not result in patentable subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5,7,11,12,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. In figure 2 Rogers et al discloses the basic process and apparatus except for the use of a pre-breaking step. However, elimination of a known step/element and it's function does not result in patentable subject matter. Therefore, in order to reduce process/apparatus costs, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rogers et al by eliminating the pre-break step, since this type of elimination would have been an obvious modification by one skilled in the art.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Giguere. See the previous office action for this rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725